

1.

The purpose of Hate-Speech Laws, is supposedly to 'protect' vulnerable 'minorities' from being 'victimised,' by other groups within society, but that is never true. Hate-Speech Laws actively target certain groups of citizens, to discriminate against them, by taking away their right to Freedom of expression. The sole purpose of Hate-Speech Laws, is to muzzle the general Public, and create a silent majority, who are forbidden to express their fears, criticisms, or opposition, to things which they find morally objectionable, abhorrent, or wrong.




2.

A classic example of this was Russia, after Vladimir Lenin and his gang of Communist thugs took control, in 1917. They banned all opposition to Communism, and passed the first Hate-Speech Laws, which made it illegal to criticise atheism, and agnosticism.

Were atheism and agnosticism, really 'vulnerable' to being criticised by the public? Yes, they were, because most Russian's weren't atheists or agnostics. Atheism and agnosticism were not 'vulnerable' groups, they were merely belief systems; and the purpose of the Laws enacted to 'protect' them, was to totally silence the Citizens of Russia, by forbidding them from speaking against them, in any way.

Anyone who would not accept this was arrested and imprisoned. Within the first 20 years of the Communist take-over of Russia, 95,000 Russian Orthodox Priests were murdered by firing squads;



3.

and over 100,000 Church buildings were burned down. This is what Hate-Speech Laws produced, silence.



This was also the obvious purpose of New Zealand's Hate-Speech Laws as well; since the Laws created a silent majority, who were no more than mere spectators to the political maneuvering of the Government to silence them.

The Government is elected *by* the people, supposedly to rule *for* the people. Instead, we had a Socialist Government, which ruled as a dictatorship, under Jacinda Ardern, extending rights to minorities, with

4.

no consideration for the will of the majority.

Instead, the majority are forced to be in subjection to the 'rights' of minorities, who are given not just 'equality,' but 'protection,' so they can freely act outside of the moral boundaries of the majority, with impunity. This is sickening.

Do we really want to be held prisoners to the beliefs of minorities? As it suggests, minorities are small groups, who do not hold the beliefs of the majority; which is fine, as long as the whole country is not put in subjection to them.

Communists were a minority in Russia, China, and everywhere else they came to power in the world. The Nazis were a minority in Germany. None of them could have ruled, without subjecting the majority to the whim of a minority, through Hate-Speech Laws, fear, and intimidation.

www.therescueshop.org

What is the Real Purpose of Hate-Speech



Laws?